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Abstract—Disaster management is a complex collaborative process involving several stakeholders from different domains and requiring preparation for designing action plans. Computer simulation of such collaborative processes allows globally assessing the efficiency of such preparation. Studies have shown that multi-agents systems (MAS) are well suited for identifying an optimal strategy or potential issues in the context of one or several action plans. Thus, our approach relies on a MAS for simulating action plans. We consider combining such an approach with semantic Web technologies, in order to define the conceptual model of the simulation according to the preparation model of disaster management. The knowledge base is expressed through two different ontologies (semDM to model the preparation results and semMAS to model the simulation) that will be discussed in this paper. On top of these knowledge models, domain-specific constraints allow for checking consistency and logic rules are used to define the semMAS modeling according to semDM.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Disaster management comprises four steps: Mitigation, Preparedness, Response and Recovery [1]. This cycle of four steps aims at improving the critical step of Response from the analysis of risks and past experiences. Response step requires the most efficiency. However, the constraints of time and the stress during this step limit its efficiency. That is why the key step of the cycle is the Preparedness which allows stakeholders to get ready by organizing, preparing and training to respond efficiently to a disaster.

The preparation is done both collaboratively through the distribution of responsibilities between the different stakeholders (e.g. communal plan in France [2]), and individually by each stakeholder through plan and protocol design according to their business knowledge (e.g. firefighter protocol [3]). However, during the response, all the stakeholders have to work collaboratively to face the disaster and actions of one can impact the other. That is why the application of the individual preparation produces some problems or a loss of efficiency.

To gain in experience without waiting a new disaster, drills are organized. Drills are a training that aims at assessing the preparation of the different response stakeholders sometimes including the population. However, the high cost of drills limits their quantity and their quality. The disaster management faces to a lack of collaborative and shared point of view during the preparation that produces a loss of efficiency to respond a disaster. This lack of shared point of view due to the low number of collaborative drills must be fulfilled by another way. Such as shown by drills, a shared feedback on experience requires the application of collaborative and individual preparation in a situation of disaster to assess its result with a shared point of view.

The collaborative and individual preparation makes intervene a set of organizations composed of different actors with different roles and responsibilities, a set of plans (distribution of task responsibilities), a set of protocol (set of actions and resources required to achieve a task). Among the different business domain intervening in the disaster management, some have predefined protocols and some others use their business knowledge to build protocols according to the situation of disaster. A situation of disaster is composed of a set of events that impact the population and the infrastructures in the considered geographical area. Studies have shown that multi-agents simulation (MAS) are well suited for identifying an optimal strategy or potential issues in the context of one or several action plans. The level of granularity provides by a multi-agent simulation allow for representing the diversity of organizational structure, actors and behaviors required to represent the preparation result. However, the existing works have a conceptual model designed for a specific use case or propose metamodel allowing for designing a diversity of use cases. But, they do not provide approach to allow the generation of a conceptual model from an explicit model of preparation. A conceptual model for a realist simulation of preparation results has two prime input requirements. Firstly, geospatial data containing the location and information about the population and infrastructures must be gathered and linked to information related to disaster situation and its impacts. Secondly, information and knowledge about organizational structure, actors, tasks, actions and resource derived from the different stakeholders must also be related to the disaster management. According to the location, the type and the gravity of the disaster situation, the preparation model associated varies to fit with the requirements of the situation. To simulate preparation result, its conceptual and implemented models have to be adapted according to various possible disaster situations and thus, various preparation models. The previously cited data and information allow for configuring the preparation model.
according to the situation. That is why these different elements must be gathered and explicitly linked. Gathering and linking this information imply:

- The requirement of disaster management domain modeling.
- The requirement of heterogeneous data integration from different sources.

Finally, the simulation has two requirements at the level of its analysis to assess its result and provide a feedback allowing the disaster community to improve their preparation. Although the assessment of the simulation is out of this paper scope, the conceptual model design of the simulation must allow:

- The observation of task failures and their cause to highlight a lack of preparation or a problem of feasibility in the achievement of the preparation,
- The global analysis of response efficiency accordingly to the purpose of disaster response, which are the civil and environmental protection, limited victims, loss of life, and damaged infrastructures.

Our approach (i) facilitates the design of explicit preparation models through heterogeneous data integration and vocabulary from disaster management, (ii) generates automatically the conceptual model of simulation thanks to a definition done from the preparation model and (iii) generates automatically the implemented model corresponding to the conceptual model. We consider the Semantic Web technologies to design two semantic metamodels: one called semDM for the explicit preparation models and one called semMAS for defining a conceptual model of simulation through logic rules according to semDM. This paper presents firstly, works related to the disaster preparedness and secondly, the different steps and components of our approach, which allows the simulation of preparation models.

II. RELATED WORK

In the context of preparation assessment, some approaches use static model (as Fault-trees of the Function-Interaction-Structure method [4]) and address the requirements of disaster management domain modeling to assess the feasibility of plans according to a state of the environment. The limits of the static models resides in their partial view of the situation by taking into account only aspects of actions and interactions on one environment state that does not allow for taking into account the population behavior that impacts the responders and for providing a global efficiency assessment of the response. The global efficiency assessment requires an analysis on the situation evolution. This evolution required a dynamic aspect provides by simulation techniques. The first section highlights the most adapted technique of simulation for disaster response and its limits of model adaptation at a level of a domain rather to a level of use case. The second section presents limits of approaches, which aims at providing modeling flexibility to allow a usage in different application case of disaster response.

A. Simulation of Disaster Response

Among the simulation techniques used for the disaster management, the multi-agent simulation is the most used during the preparedness to assess strategies and plans of disaster response [5]. The granularity of multi-agent simulations allows the representation of disaster management stakeholders, their organizational structure, their interactions and their actions that come from their preparation and knowledge according to the situation, but also population behavior according to their specificities. Among multi-agent simulation of disaster response, a majority of them aims at determining the optimized solution to a specific problem [6]. The most addressed specific problem is the allocation of resources both in terms of human rescuers [7], [8] or robotic rescuers [9], and of resource means [10], [11]. The results of simulation for the optimization of allocated resources and for the planning of response action is assessed in terms of time and success quantity (e.g. ratio rescued people) according to the purpose of the strategy assessed by the simulation or the use case addressed by the simulation. This type of simulation addresses mainly the rescue strategies. Another set of simulation focuses on evacuation simulation ([12]-[16]) by taking into account some aspects as the behavior of the population, the traffic, communications and prepared plans.

The limit of these simulations to provide an experience feedback is the design of the conceptual model and its implementation, which are specialized to a use case or a specific problem and cannot be adapted to the diversity of disaster situation and diversity of response strategies. To solve this lack of flexibility and reusability of simulation models and their implementation in a same domain of application, some approaches presented in the next section have developed metamodel, ontologies and automatic process to improve the reusability and the extension to different use cases.

B. Approaches to Automate and Facilitate the Multi-agent Simulation Design

To solve the problem of reusability and adaptation to diverse contexts, several approaches have used the methodology of developing a formal metamodel as a base to define the simulation conceptual model independently from its implementation model, associated with an automatic process that generate the implementation model. The formalism used to design the metamodel varies between diagram-based modeling language (as UML [17] or its extension like ERE-ML based on MAS-ML and TAO formalisms [18]) and ontologies (Einsim ontology [19], [20]). Ontologies have the benefit to provide a semantic formalism that facilitates the reuse of information content between different systems [19]. These approaches facilitate the development of simulation and the reusability through the metamodel for different use case. However, they do not address the problem of designing the conceptual model of the simulation that requires a lot of effort to be adapted to the different use cases. According to the geographic location and the specificities of a disaster, actors and their associated plan and business knowledge will change and will require a
new model of simulation. The approach presented in the next section addresses this problem by (i) facilitating the integration of data and information about disaster management from different sources, (ii) using logic rules and constraints to design a conceptual model of multi-agent simulation from integrated information, and (iii) generating the implemented model of the simulation.

III. APPROACH

This system aims at generating a simulation of the preparation results to face a disaster from the integration of real data (as geospatial data, population data, risk data, etc) and information (as task plan, action protocol, business rules, resources, etc) related to the management of a disaster. The purpose of such a simulation is to assess the preparation to provide a support for improving it. The system is composed of a knowledge base containing two main metamodels. The first one is the semDM metamodel that allows for representing models of preparation according to disaster risks. It provides a disaster management vocabulary to integrate and manage related data and information. The second one is the semMas metamodel that allows for representing conceptual models of multi-agent simulation. A conceptual model of MAS aims at being designed according to a model of preparation. The achievement of the system goal is done through a sequence of three processes. Firstly, a process of data integration facilitates the design of a model preparation. Secondly, a reasoning process generates dynamically a multi-agent conceptual model according to a preparation model and a disaster event. Finally, a process interprets automatically the multi-agent conceptual model to generate the simulation programming. Fig. 1 presents the overview of this approach.

A. Data Integration Process

The data integration process aims at integrating heterogeneous data related to the disaster management domain into the semDM metamodel. The first section describes the type of information contained in the data concerned by the integration process. The second section presents the types of data processed and describes their processing.

1) Data related to the disaster management domain

The data related to the disaster management are geospatial data, data about the prepared plans and data about stakeholders. The geospatial data aims at providing locations information (e.g. building or resource location) and geographic information (e.g. risk areas). This information allows for representing the real world. The prepared plans provide information about a set of tasks (for the highest level of granularity corresponding to strategic plans) or actions (for the lowest level of granularity corresponding to operational plans). These tasks and these actions are defined through an application context, required resources to achieve them, and persons or organizations in charge of them. This information allows for determining the role of each stakeholder during a disaster situation and thus, distributing tasks to the adapted people. The different stakeholders’ information is information about the different organizations that intervene in the disaster response. This information corresponds to the description of people who are belonging to an organization, their role, and the resources that they have (types, quantity). Information about people allows for their representation as an agent in the simulation system with the adapted role according to the real world. Resource information is used in the process of decision-making for applying and managing the response plans.

2) Integration process

The geospatial data integration into an ontology is a problem addressed in the community of Semantic Web (e.g. a semi-automatic approach [21], an automatic approach [22]). Two different approaches have been used to integrate the data: an automatic one and another semi-automatic. The automatic integration process [22] is used for heterogeneous data with a table structure (e.g. shapefiles, database, excel sheet). This approach uses natural language processing and geospatial content (when it is available) to match information from a data with a concept or an individual of an ontology. It creates then an RDF graph with the chosen vocabulary to represent the data content. This automatic approach is useful to process a lot of data with well-named columns (in the sense of complete name). However, some data have column names which are an abbreviation or an incomplete name. In this case, a semi-automatic process is applied to overcome the weakness of the automatic one. The semi-automatic consists in the definition of a schema mapping between the column name and concepts of the ontology to extract information, transform them into RDF triples and load them into the ontology.

Thanks to the combination of these two approaches, the heterogeneous data content is integrated into the semDM metamodel presented in the next section.

B. SemDM Metamodel

The semDM metamodel gathers a representation of information of the geospatial domain and disaster management domain. The main part of its specification is presented in Fig. 2.
1) Geospatial domain

Among the different existing geospatial vocabularies (e.g. [23], [24]), the semDM ontology extends the GeoSPARQL vocabulary [25] to represent and manage geospatial information. This vocabulary allows for describing a spatial object through a feature (geo:Feature) and a geometry (geo:Geometry) associated with an object property (geo:hasGeometry). It provides also a set of geospatial functions (e.g. contain, overlap, intersect).

2) Disaster management domain

The semDM ontology is inspired by the metamodel of disaster management presented in [26]. This metamodel provides a domain-specific language for Disaster Management expertise. The concepts of this metamodel provide a vocabulary to describe the activities of disaster management which facilitates the use of this domain knowledge. The main concepts allowing for representing the response action plans are presented in Fig. 2. The stakeholders of the disaster management are split between organizations and persons. Persons belong to an organization and play one or several roles. Each role provides a set of services that serve elements at risk and follow a procedure. A specification of procedure has been added to the metamodel to distinguish the different types of plans according to their level of granularity. The distinction of procedure types is done through (i) a concept of a plan which is composed of tasks achieved through a service, and (ii) a concept of protocol which is composed of actions. The semDM ontology is extended by concepts from the Emergel vocabulary [27] to complete the ontology with a vocabulary specific to the emergency management domain that gathers the main concepts of the response model. The used of “vertical concepts” from Emergel vocabulary aims at specifying some concepts as for example (i) Resource from semDM with concepts that inherits from Vehicles and Equipment in Emergel, or (ii) Task and Actions from semDM with concepts that inherits from Activities in Emergel.

C. SemMAS Metamodel

The semMAS ontology aims firstly at representing a multi-agent conceptual model of simulation. Its design is based on the definition provided by Ferber [28] about a multi-agent system. This description presents the different components of a multi-agent system. The main component is an environment which corresponds to space and contains a set of artifacts composed of objects and agents. The artifacts are located. Agents are specific artifacts which are active, whereas objects are passive artifacts. Their distinction is done through a property which assigns operations to only active artifacts which are the agents. These operations correspond to activities as perceive, produce, consume, transform and manipulate objects. A concept of relations allows for linking artifacts (and by the way agents) between them. Each agent, each artifact and the environment have properties that characterize them. The environment has also, operators which represent the application of operations and reactions of the world according to the modification. All of these elements are components of the multi-agent-based model. A model of simulation has also some inputs which are initial conditions and parameters.

The semMAS ontology (Fig. 3.) aims secondly at modeling the prepared plans and all other information related to the disaster management to assess the planned collaborative work. For such purpose, the semMAS ontology integrates a representation of the Belief-Desire-Intention model [29] corresponding to practical reasoning agent. This model allows for representing (i) the general knowledge of an agent and its knowledge about the situation through the concept of Belief<sub>semMAS</sub>, (ii) the different goals that can be pursued by an agent through the concept of Desire<sub>semMAS</sub>, (iii) and the set of plans that have been prepared for each goal through the concept of Plan<sub>semMAS</sub>. A Goal<sub>semMAS</sub> corresponds to an active desire and an Intention<sub>semMAS</sub> corresponds to an activated plan.

Finally, the semMAS ontology aims at modeling the organizational structure of the disaster management stakeholders. That is why this ontology integrates a concept of Group and one of Role. A group is defined as a type of agent representing a holonic agent [30].

This semMas ontology is used to design a simulation model to represent the organizational structure and the response of the disaster management community according to their prepared plan. The model of Belief-Desire-Intention is adapted to represent the prepared plans and the mechanism of their activation according to the knowledge about the situation. The creation of this multi-agent conceptual model is done through the process P2 of dynamic semMAS modeling from the preparation model. This process is presented in the next section.

D. Automatic Modeling in the SemMAS Ontology

The automatic modeling process uses preparation model contained in the semDM ontology to design the multi-agent-based conceptual model of the simulation. The reasoning process applies the logic modeling defined with Shapes constraint language (SHACL) [31] on the semMAS ontology from the semDM ontology. This section describes the definition of concepts into the semMAS ontology, which allows the dynamic modeling of simulation components according to the disaster management information. This dynamic modeling inits at first a simulation model, models then the environment and its artifacts that compose the model, and finally distinguishes the agents from the other
artifacts.

1) **Initialization of a model**

The simulation model has two inputs which are initial conditions and parameters. The initial condition for the disaster management simulation corresponds to a governmental echelon. This governmental echelon corresponds to the scale of the simulation. The parameters of the model correspond to a scenario of disaster. The scenario corresponds to the timeline of a model. That means it exists a model of simulation for each scenario defined, which takes as an initial condition the smallest governmental echelon corresponding to the scenario.

2) **Modeling of the environment with its artifacts**

The definition of model inputs aims at configuring the components of the simulation. The scenario of a model is linked to a disaster. This disaster provides information about the elements at risks that can be impacted by this disaster and the damage that they can undergo in this context. This information allows for defining operators of the environment.

The governmental echelon of a simulation model represents the space of an environment. Thanks to a definition using geospatial functions (as geo:isIn), the reasoner engine can determine the components of the environment from their geographic information. These components are defined as artifacts of the environment and retrieve a discrete location corresponding to the rasterization of their geometry according to the size of the environment given as an input parameter.

3) **Modeling of agents**

Among the artifacts of the environment, agents act in the environment. These agents correspond to the stakeholders of the disaster management community. The stakeholders are divided between organizations and persons. The organizations are represented by a group in the multi-agent based model and persons are represented by an agent. The link between an organization and a person is keeping through the relation memberOf between an agent and a group.

In the disaster management, a person has a role which provides services. In the multi-agent model, the services are represented by a desire of an agent, a goal that he could want to attempt. An agent plan allowing the achievement of a desire corresponds to the procedures that a service follows. Similarly to a service in the semDM ontology, a desire is first, linked to a role.

In a second step, shape constraints allowing for linking directly an agent to its desires and its plans are defined. These shape constraints allow the deduction of links between an agent and its attributes (desires and plans) from its links with a role, itself linked to desires and plans.

In the domain of disaster management, a distinction of procedure type is done between plan and protocol which are respectively composed of tasks and actions. Actions correspond also to an action which is a subclass of operation in the multi-agent domain.

Tasks of disaster management domain are achieved by a service which can be managed by another organization than the organization that has the plan containing the task. That is why a plan corresponds to a repartition of tasks among diverse organizations. Such a repartition or assignment of a task in a multi-agent system corresponds to the sending of a message containing the service allowing for achieving this task to the organization responsible for this service. The sending of a message corresponds to a communication which is a kind of agent operation.

Thanks to the definition of another shape constraint, if an agent gets a plan, the operations that compose the action plan become automatically operations of the agent.

This process of modeling produces simulation models composed of an environment, artifacts, and agents. Each of these components is characterized by properties, operations or operators corresponding to the information about disaster management. To simulate the model, its implementation is created from the semMAS ontology to be then, executed and produces an assessment of disaster management response. The process of semMAS interpretation into a programming model is presented in the next section.

E. **Automatic SemMAS Interpretation**

The simulation programming aims at producing a simulation execution of the models defined in the semMAS ontology. The simulation execution requires firstly, the implementation of a programming model able to represent the conceptual multi-agent based models contained into the semMAS ontology. It requires secondly a process that interprets a conceptual model into the programming model to initialize the components of the simulation and execute it.

1) **Programming model**

The programming model is designed according to a toolbox of implemented behaviors. This toolbox is composed of the basic behaviors that all agents have (e.g. perceiving its environment, decision-making, communicating or acting). The basic action behaviors as a move and a change on the environment or on an artifact is used to create more complex behaviors and thus provide a set of behaviors corresponding to the set of operations in the semMAS ontology. The implementation of an environment, an artifact, and an agent is done as a pattern which will be filled from the description from the semMAS ontology to allow the creation of a diversity of simulation's components according to their characterization. The pattern of an agent contains an implementation of the belief-desire-intention model.

2) **Implementation of model from the semMAS metamodel**

The interpretation process uses the implemented patterns to create automatically the simulation program allowing for its execution. This process takes as input the semMAS ontology and creates a program execution for each existing model. The process of interpretation have a bottom-up approach for each model in the sense it starts with the most specific components until the most general. That means it begins by retrieving all the agents of the model, initialize their implementation according to their properties and operations and add it them into a list. The second step consists of the same process for each artifact of the model which is not an agent. The third step is the adding of relation implementation between agents and/or artifacts. The fourth
step is the implementation of the environment according to its operators and its properties that are composed of the list of artifacts and the list of agents. The final step is the execution of the program that aims at providing the assessment of the plans. This assessment is done through the observation of a set of variables representing the result of the response. The main aim of the response is to rescue and protect the population, but also protect the properties. The observed variables are related to the quantity of population or the surface of properties to protect and the evolution of their status during the evolution of the response (e.g. number of affected people, number of rescued people, the proportion of affected area, etc). The second output of the simulation allowing assessing plans are the collection of plans that have been triggered but have not been applied and the cause of their failed application.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper presents an automated approach based on semantic technologies to automate the simulation of the disaster management plans in diverse scenarios to assess their efficiency. The main contribution of this approach is to allow the simulation of disaster management plans directly from business data and domain knowledge. Thanks to such an approach, people from the disaster management community can use simulations without providing effort of modeling to assess the prepared plans. The main advantage of this approach is its ability to adapt the design of simulation according to different organizational structure and different granularities of plan thanks to the use of metamodels represented into an ontology. The use of an ontology and a reasoner engine provide also, the capabilities to verify the consistency of the model before to be implemented and executed. This cross consistency checking on both ontologies avoids risks of a derivation of the system. A second contribution is provided through the ontology of disaster management containing all information of the domain. This domain ontology used in this process can be reused by other systems supporting the disaster management as for example by a system that supports the decision-making of actions according to a description of a disaster and the plans represented into the ontology. The limit of this approach is its translation process of domain ontology into a multi-agent based simulation model which is specific to the domain of disaster management. This approach is also focused on a global realism based on the decisions made at the highest level rather than a realism of individual behavior at the operational level. This choice aims at producing large-scale simulation providing a global view of the plans' efficiency. Another limit appears also in the data integration process which requires to choose carefully the data to not increase the complexity and slow down the process of translation with irrelevant data.

The future work of this research is to validate the agent-based model represented by the mapping between the disaster management ontology and the ontology of multi-agent simulation. This process of model validation will be applied on a use case based on a scenario used during a drill to compare decisions made by real people of disaster management community and decisions made by an agent during the simulation execution.
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