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Abstract—The paper presents a design methodology for the automatic control system of a satellite with magnetic actuators taking into account the time periodic variation of the Earth’s magnetic field. The design method is based on using an optimal $H_2$ controller with periodic coefficients. These coefficients depend on the solution of a specific system of coupled Riccati type equations. An iterative numerical algorithm to solve such system is also presented. The proposed design procedure is illustrated by a case study for a CubSat in which the benefits of using a a time-periodic controller are emphasized.

Index Terms—$H_2$ optimal control, satellite stabilization, system of coupled Riccati equations, time periodic control law.

I. INTRODUCTION

The satellites control problems received a considerable attention since the early space applications from five decades ago. The interest for the design of attitude control system (ACS) design is motivated by the continuous diversification of space missions in which more and more companies, research entities and universities are involved. A special attention has been devoted in recent years to the so-called CubSats with small dimensions and low mass (cubs with 10 cm sides and the mass less than 1.33 kg). Such nano-satellites may be successfully used for data acquisition, Earth observation and commercial services. Some of the main CubSats’ advantages include their low price and reduced launching costs. In spite of their small dimensions a CubSat the design of their ACS is a challenging problem from the perspective of a control engineer due to the inherent constraints imposed by the limited onboard hardware resources. This is why a trade-off between the performances level and the control laws complexity must be taken into account. Among the numerous solutions adopted for the ACS design one mentions the classical proportional-derivative (PD) control algorithms (see e.g. [1], [2]), optimal linear-quadratic-Gaussian (LQG) based control ([3], [4]) and more recently, advanced control techniques based on norm minimization approaches ([4], [5]). By contrast with these results, in the present paper an output feedback $H_2$ controller is used avoiding thus the estimation of unmeasured state.

The paper is organized as follows: the next section presents some preliminaries concerning the time-periodic model of the Earth’s magnetic field together with the design models of the CubSat. Then, in the the third section, the analytical expressions of the time-periodic controller are given. Numerical simulations based on the proposed periodic controller are presented and discussed in the fourth section. The paper ends with some concluding remarks. The following notations are used throughout the paper: by “$T$” the transposed of a matrix is denoted, $I_{nxn}$ is the unit matrix of dimension $n \times n$, $P > 0$ ($P < 0$) stands for a symmetric matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ positive (negative) definite.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND DESIGN MODELS

The satellite’s attitude dynamics actuated by magnetic control torques is described using the Euler’s differential equation ([1], [2])

$$\dot{\omega} = -\omega \times I \omega + T_{mag}$$

(1)

where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes the angular velocity expressed in body frame, $I \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ stands for the the moment of inertia and $T_{mag} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the control input which components are the control torques.

The attitude kinematics parameterized using quaternions is given by

$$\dot{q} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega q$$

(2)
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where \( q \in \mathbb{R}^4 \) is the quaternion vector having unitary Euclidian norm and
\[
\Omega \ t = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \omega_x & -\omega_y & \omega_z \\
-\omega_z & 0 & \omega_x & -\omega_y \\
\omega_y & -\omega_x & 0 & \omega_z \\
-\omega_z & \omega_y & -\omega_x & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)
\]
\( \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z \) denoting the components of the angular rate vector. Usually the angular speed components from the above equations are different since the ones arising in (1) are expressed with respect to the Earth-centered inertial (ECI) frame, while the ones from (2) correspond to the orbital frame called Local Vertical Local Horizontal (LVLH) frame. To simplify the design models below it was assumed that the angular speed expressed with respect to the two reference frames are the same, which assumption depends on the mission specific. The control vector has the expression
\[
m \times b = m \times b = B \ b \ m, \quad (4)
\]
where \( m \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) consists of the three magnetic dipoles generated by the actuator coils and \( b = [b_x, b_y, b_z]^T \) includes the components of the Earth’s magnetic field. In the above equation the cross product \( m \times b \) will be expressed in the equivalent form
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_z & -b_y \\
-b_z & 0 & b_x \\
b_y & -b_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B \ b \ m,
\]
where by definition,
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_z & -b_y \\
-b_z & 0 & b_x \\
b_y & -b_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Since the design approach for the CubSat’s ACS is linear, the linear approximations of the dynamic and kinematics equations (1) and (2) have been determined as follows:
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial \dot{q}} & \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial \omega} \\
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \dot{q}} & \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \omega} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{b_x} \\
\mathbf{I}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} B \ b \ t \quad (6)
\]
where \( \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial \dot{q}}, \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial \omega}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \dot{q}}, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \omega} \) denote the derivatives of the right sides from equations (2) and (1), respectively. For \( I_x = 0.00528 \text{kg\cdotm}^2, I_y = 0.00513 \text{kg\cdotm}^2 \) and \( I_z = 0.00202 \text{kg\cdotm}^2 \), the following linearized model around the equilibrium conditions \( q = 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \)^T and \( \omega = 0 \ 0 \ 0 \)^T has been obtained
\[
\dot{q} \ t = Ax \ t + B \ t \ u \ t \quad (7)
\]
with
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{3x4} & \frac{1}{2} I_y b_x \\
0_{3x4} & 0_{4x4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{b_x} \\
0_{4x4} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{b_x} \\
194.9318 & 0 \\
0 & 495.0495 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_x \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)
\]
where \( x := \begin{bmatrix} q^T & \omega \end{bmatrix}^T \) and \( u \ t = m \ t \).

### III. TIME-PERIODIC \( H_2 \) OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

Consider the following discrete-time linear system denoted
by $G$

$$
\begin{align*}
    x_{t+1} &= A_t x_t + B_t u_t + B_{\xi t} t \xi_t \\
y_t &= C_t x_t + D_{\xi t} t u_t \\
z_t &= C_{\xi t} x_t + D_{\xi t} u_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

(9)

where the matrices $A_t, B_t, B_{\xi t}, C_t, D_{\xi t}, C_{\xi t}$ and $D_{\xi t}$ are matrices with $\theta$-periodic elements, $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, namely $A_t + \theta = A_t$, etc. It is assumed that the exogenous input $\xi_t$ is a sequence of random vectors satisfying the assumptions that $E[\xi_t] = 0$ and $E[\xi_t \xi_t^T] = I$, where $E \cdot$ denotes the mathematical expectation. In (9), $y_t$ denotes the measurements vector and $z_t$ is a quality output. The aim is to design a discrete-time periodic controller denoted by $G_c$ with the state space equations

$$
\begin{align*}
    x_{c_t+1} &= A_c x_c + B_c u_c t \\
y_c &= C_c x_c, t = 0, 1, \ldots, \theta
\end{align*}
$$

(10)

such that the closed loop system obtained when coupling the controller (10) to the system (9) making $u_c = y_t$ and $u_t = y_c t$ is stable and its $H_2$ norm from the $\xi t$ to the quality output $z_t$ is minimal. Definition of the $H_2$ for a stable periodic system for the more general case when the system is corrupted with multiplicative noise and Markovian jumps may be found in [8] where explicit formulae for the optimal $H_2$ controller are also derived. In the case when the multiplicative noise and the Markovian jumps are missing as in the case of the periodic system (10), these formulae become

$$
\begin{align*}
    A_c &= A + B F + K C \\
    B_c &= -K \\
    C_c &= F
\end{align*}
$$

(11)

and

$$
\begin{align*}
    X_t &= A^T t X_t + A t + C_c^T t C_c t \\
    - A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times B^T t X_t + D_{\xi c} t D_{\xi c}^{-1} t \\
    \times A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times A^T t X_t + B t + C_{\xi c}^T t D_{\xi c} t
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

and

$$
\begin{align*}
    Y_t &= A t Y_t A^T t + B_c t D_{\xi c}^{-1} t D_{\xi c} t \\
    \times C t Y_t C^T t + D_{\xi c} t D_{\xi c}^{-1} t \\
    \times A t Y_t C^T t + B_c t D_{\xi c}^{-1} t D_{\xi c} t
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

respectively, $t = 0, 1, \ldots, \theta - 1$

In order to solve the systems of Riccati type equations (13) and (14) the iterative procedure described in [8], may be used.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The design procedure described in the previous section has been used to determine a discrete-time periodic ACS for the satellite which design model has been presented in Section II. To this end one must firstly determine the discretized system of the continuous-time system (7). The discretization time used for this case study was $T_d = 20$ min and therefore, since the period was assumed $T = 100$ min, it results that $\theta = 5$ for the formulae presented in the previous section. To this end the following well-known expressions of the discretized system have been used

$$
A_d = e^{\Delta t} A_j \quad \text{and} \quad B_d = \int_0^t e^{\Delta t - \tau} B_d \tau.
$$

Therefore in the formulae for the discrete-time periodic controller given in Section III, the matrices $A$ and $B$ must be replaced by $A_d$ and $B_d$, respectively. Since in our case study $A$ is constant, from the above expression it follows that $A_d$ is also constant and only $B_d$ is time periodic with the period $\theta = 5$. The following quality output have been considered for this application

$$
z_t = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 x_t \\ W_2 u_t \end{bmatrix}
$$

(15)

where $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 7}$, $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 7}$, $W_1 > 0$ and $W_2 > 0$ are weighting matrices chosen depending on the imposed admissible magnitude of the the states and the control variables. Thus it follows that
\[
C_z = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ 0_{n \times 7} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D_z = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 7} \\ W_2 \end{bmatrix}
\] (16)

The exogenous input consists of the measurement noise of the inertial measurement unit (IMU) providing the angular rate and therefore

\[
B_z = 0_{n \times 3}, \quad C = 0_{n \times 4} \quad I_{3 \times 3}, \quad D_z = 10^{-1} \cdot I_{3 \times 3}
\] (17)

Let us notice that since in this application only the matrix \(B_z\) is periodic time-varying, the system (14) simply reduces to a discrete-time algebraic Riccati equation which can be solved using usual numerical procedures as the ones implemented in MATLAB. As concerns the system (13) it consists of \(\theta\) coupled algebraic Riccati--type equations which can be numerically solved using the following iterative procedure (8).[5]

\textbf{Step 1.} Determine a stabilizing feedback gain \(F_t\) such that \(x_{t+1} = A_j x_t + B_j u_t \).

Such stabilizing gain may be obtained as \(F_t = W_t Z^{-1} t\) where \(W_t\) and \(Z t > 0\) satisfy the system of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-Z t^+ + A_x Z t + B_j W t \\
Z t A_j^T + W^T t B_j^T - Z t
\end{bmatrix} < 0,
\]

\(t = 0, ..., \theta - 1\).

Set \(k = 0\).

\textbf{Step 2.} For \(k = k + 1\), compute the solution \(X_k\) of the system of LMI's

\[
A_j + B_j t F_{k-1} t^T X_{k+1} t + A_j + B_j t F_{k-1} t
-X_k t + C_z + D_z F_{k-1} t^T C_z + D_z F_{k-1} t + \delta I_{3 \times 3} \leq 0
\]

with \(\delta > 0\) arbitrarily chosen and

\[
F_t = B_j^T t X_k t + 1 B_j + D_z^2 D_z^{-1}
\]

\(t = 0, ..., \theta - 1;\)

Repeat Step 2 until

\[\max \|X_{k} - X_{k-1}\| < \varepsilon\]

where \(\varepsilon > 0\) is a small scalar arbitrarily chosen.

Using the above numerical procedure for \(W_1 = I_{n \times 7}\) and \(W_2 = 10^{-1} I_{n \times 3}\) one obtained the following matrices for the realization of the time-periodic optimal \(H_2\) controller of form (11):
For the initial condition
\[ x(0) = 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \pi / 10 \]

The following time responses of the angular rates and of the controls have been obtained with the above time-periodic controller.

Further, the case when using a unique \( H_2 \) controller has been considered. For the controller with the realization
\[
A = [5 \quad 5 \quad 5], \quad B = [5 \quad 5 \quad 5], \quad C = [5 \quad 5 \quad 5],
\]
the following time responses have been obtained:

Comparing the time responses above one can see that for the time constant controller the responses amplitude are significantly higher than in the case when the optimal time-periodic controller is used. Note that the settling time is also larger for time constant controller.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A design methodology for the ACS of a CubSat magnetically actuated have been developed taking into account the time-periodic variation of the Earth’s magnetic field. The design procedure is based on the minimization of the \( H_2 \) norm induced from the measurement white noises to a quality output including the state and the control variables. It was shown that the optimal \( H_2 \) controller is time varying with time-periodic coefficients. The numerical analyses indicate better performances of the time-periodic controller concerning the attenuation properties and the settling time, with respect to the case when ACS with constant parameters are used.
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