Abstract—LTE multicast has been recognized as an efficient technique to transmit a large volume of data to multiple mobile stations simultaneously. However, with the growth of wireless communications, it is common to find two different systems being deployed in the same area. This creates adjacent channel interference (ACI) which can result in significant reduction in neighbor system capacity. In this paper we adopt MATLAB simulations to investigate throughput degradation resulting from ACI. This paper also illustrates average throughput with different sizes of guard bands. Furthermore, simulations results conclude that without guard band, the average throughput level is unacceptable to operate LTE multicast system.

Index Terms—Throughput, LTE, multicast, co-existence, ACI.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are three types of multimedia communications between computers in a network namely, Unicast, Broadcast and Multicast. In most conventional Ethernet network, most Internet Protocol (IP) packets are sent via unicast (host to host) transmission. In a unicast communication, a computer packet is sent from one host to another single host. Unicast uses up bandwidth fast when sending large multimedia files. In the case of broadcasting, one computer communicates to multiple computers, where multimedia content is broadcasted to a large audience using a special broadcast address. In the traditional form of communication, broadcasting to a large audience is used, but in the modern day technological advances has altered user behavior. Today not every user is interested in receiving multimedia from a mass broadcast communication hence, resulting in waste of bandwidth. The third type of multimedia communication is multicast, which is popular in the current age because it corresponds with today’s user behavior. With the explosive growth variety of multimedia services, the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) has introduced the multimedia multicast service feature. Multicasting is ability to provide high definition multimedia content over IP-based networks from base station (BS) to a selected group of home users who are interested in receiving a particular content. These users will receive data packets from the same traffic at the same speed. Multicasting also optimizes the performance of the network as only one multicast data stream is sent out; therefore it preserves bandwidth on the network and eliminates any traffic redundancy. The users on multicast network are able to decide whether to listen to the multicast address so packets are only sent to where they are required, hence, reducing load on network devices and enhanced efficiency is achieved from ability to control traffic on the network.

Rich multimedia services, such as mobile TV and mobile streaming, are considered of key importance for the LTE proliferation in the mobile market. The exponential data growth from smart phones has lead to the multimedia packet core network being pertinent in providing superior user experience and allowing rich data applications and services to be offered to users. Multimedia Multicast Services are envisaged to play an instrumental role to this end. In the modern day, there is a high demand for multicast as many emerging applications such as mobile TV and group oriented mobile commerce aim to deliver the same large volume of data to multiple users in the network [1].

As LTE is a technology that is based on next generation and is an all IP core network, it is suitable to conduct multicast on LTE network platform. A flexible technology, OFDMA-based LTE air interface supports both time division duplex (TDD) and frequency division duplex (FDD) modes in its PHY layer. For TDD mode, the system transmits the data frame-by-frame, and each 5-ms frame consists of a DL sub-frame and an UL sub-frame to prevent collisions between DL and UL transmissions [2].

FDD is duplexing scheme for the majority of cellular communication systems including IS-95, cdma2000, wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA), high speed downlink packet access (HSDPA), high speed uplink packet access (HSUPA), and LTE-FDD. Contrarily, the only popular TDD-based system that is widely used is TD-SCDMA [3].

TDD has several advantages over FDD which are flexible Downlink to Uplink ratio (i.e., TDD ratio), simpler and easier implementation of antenna techniques, and cheaper transceiver implementation. Therefore, TDD is also a duplexing scheme that is popular for wireless broadband systems such as IEEE 802.16e, IEEE 802.16m, and TD-LTE [3].

The exponential growth in wireless communications requires a flexible technology such as LTE that permits the deployment of two different systems in adjacent frequency bands in the same location. With technology neutrality being a worldwide standard, operators are being innovative by putting different mobile communication technologies into use hence, the current norm is such that multiple and different systems are more frequently located at the same site. This is called co-site, shared or co-existence which has a drawback that leads to increase in interference in such networks.

Interference on such networks further results in capacity degradation of both systems due to lack of RF isolation between two different communication systems [4].

For Adjacent Channel Interference (ACI) is when two
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systems operate in neighboring spectrum and interference cannot be entirely eliminated. The two main sources of ACI are out-of-band emission and spurious emission [5]. In calculations for the inter-system interference, the RF characteristics of transmitter and receiver are taken into account by weighting adjacent system signal with a parameter ACIR (Adjacent Channel Interference Power Ratio), which is defined as “the ratio of the total power transmitted from a source to the total interference power affecting a victim receiver, resulting from both transmitter and receiver imperfections”[6]. Therefore, as multicast is gaining tremendous popularity as a wireless multimedia communication technology, it is critical to study the coexistence interference for multicast wireless multimedia applications. Therefore, this study will focus on multicast experimenting ACI on different guard band including 0MHz, 4MHz and 10MHz.

II. AVERAGE THROUGHPUT OF MULTICAST LTE SYSTEMS

Multicast in access networks is using a base station (BS) or access point (AP) to broadcast data to a group of users, referred to as a multicast group. To ensure the signal reception quality at each user, one method is to adapt the data transmission rate to the worst channel among all users in the multicast group. It is inevitable that the data transmission rate will decrease when number of users’ increases in the selected multicast group. On the other hand, in a system with fixed transmission rate to the worst channel among all users in the multicast groups. Assuming that rh subcarriers and M multicast groups. Assuming that K is divisible by G and J is an integer multiple of K, each multicast group is associated with k = K / G users and jk subcarriers, where j = J / K . For simplification, we assume J = K in the rest of the paper, as shown in Fig. 1. Our results, however, easily extends to the case with j > 1. We further assume that equal power is transmitted on all subcarriers.

Let SCm,n (g = 1, ..., G; m = 1, ..., k; n = 1, ..., k) denote the sub-channel of user m of the g -th multicast on subcarrier n with a stationary and ergodic time-varying power gain αg^m,n. The power gains αg^m,n for all the m and n are assumed to follow an i.i.d. standard exponential distribution (Rayleigh fading). It is assumed that a perfect instantaneous channel estimation is made in every user’s receiver and is transmitted back to the BS through error free feedback path. So the channel gain αg^m,n is known both to the BS and the receiver. Let P denote the average transmit power on each subcarrier, N_0 denotes the singlesided power spectral density of Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN), and B denote the bandwidth of each subchannel. Let γi = P/(N_0B) denote the average transmitterside SNR.

Then the instantaneous received SNR of SC^m,n is represented by γ^m,n = α^m,nP (N_0B) = α^m,nγi.

Based on Shannon’s channel capacity, the instantaneous capacity of each sub-channel SC^m,n can be represented by:

\[ B \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^m,n}{\alpha^m,n} \right) = B \log_2 \left(1 + \alpha^m,n \gamma_i \right) \]  

(1)

In this paper, we adopt the average throughput of one user which is derived in [7]. By assuming each user perceives i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channels, the average throughput of one user is given by:

\[ C = k \int \log_2 \left(1 + \alpha^m,n \gamma_i \right) p(\alpha) d\alpha \]  

(2)

where substituting all the i.i.d. \alpha_n^m with the variable \alpha that has the probability distribution p(\alpha).

Given \gamma_i and B, the user’s average throughput C is re-written as a function of the size of a multicast group, k.

\[ C(k) = \frac{Bk}{\ln 2} \left( e^{-\frac{k}{\gamma_i}} - e^{-\frac{e^{-\frac{k}{\gamma_i}}}{\ln \frac{k}{\gamma_i}}} \right) \]  

(3)

As \( C(k) \) increases with k, each user can enjoy a higher throughput when users are divided into larger multicast groups. In this regard, the best grouping strategy is to put all users requiring the same program into one multicast group [7].

III. CO-EXISTENCE INTERFERENCE IN LTE SYSTEMS

Adjacent channel interference (ACI) is interference between links that communicate geographically close to each
neighbour cell between a transmitter and a receiver on adjacent bands may impact the system performance. In practice, the minimum coupling loss between a transmitter and a receiver on adjacent bands may be estimated and the transceivers can designed such that ACI remains at a tolerable level. In order to do so the transceivers must utilize adequate filtering on stop-bands. The needed quality of the filters may be controlled by reserving a guard band between the bands.

A related interference type to ACI is coexistence interference which occurs among heterogeneous radio access technologies. Coexistence Interference may arise on the same frequency band or between adjacent frequency bands.

FDD and TDD indicate the duplex division method type for uplink and downlink. FDD is the duplex method to assign separate frequency bands for uplink transmission and for downlink transmission, thus FDD requires the paired band. A frequency separation between uplink and downlink transmissions is required due to the characteristic of FDD and known as ‘Duplex spacing’. Generally, FDD downlink band is assigned as the upper band of the pair. TDD is the duplex method to assign alternate time slots for uplink and downlink transmission on the same frequency in an assigned band [8].

In the 2.6GHz band, the 3GPP band 7 is defined by assigning the lower 70MHz of the spectrum, from 2.50GHz to 2.57GHz, to UpLink and the upper 70MHz, from 2.62GHz to 2.69GHz, to DownLink.

In this paper, we assume that ACIR is equal to ACLR by equation [11].

![Fig. 2. Band allocation of TDD and FDD.](image)

Fig. 2 shows that both edge channels of the TDD band at 2.57GHz and 2.62GHz can create interference from the adjacent edge channel of the FDD UL and DL, which can impact the co-existence of LTE FDD and TDD [9].

To minimize the possible interference, a specific spectrum gap may be used to provide isolation. Isolation can also be achieved with a combination of provisions including guard band allocation, antenna separation, and filtering. In order to assess the co-existence interference incurred between LTE cells, the model in [10] is used.

In this paper, the methodology to calculate the adjacent channel interference and co-existence interference adopts from [10]. We consider circular cells as shown in Fig. 3 and assume that the links from the interfering BSs are mutually independent. BS_0 is the serving BS and MS_0 is the victim MS. The relationship between the parameters are depicted in Fig. 3 transmitted power of serving cell BS_0 (P_0), and neighbour cell BS_i (P_i), received power at victim MS_0 (P_r), interference power (I_{ad}), the distance between BS_0 and BS_i (d_{0,i}), BS_0 and MS_0 (d_{0,0}), and BS_i and MS_i (d_{i,i}), respectively.

![Fig. 3. Cell layout (the number of interfering BSs = 2).](image)

Let ε_0 and ε_i be the random component due to shadowing on the desired link and the interference link, respectively, γ be the path-loss exponent and κ_i be the adjacent channel interference ratio (ACIR) in decibels. Then, the interference power I_{ad} is modeled [10] by:

\[
I_{ad} = 10^{\frac{P_r}{10} \left( \frac{d_0}{d_{ad}} \right)^\gamma - \frac{\xi_0 - \xi_i - \kappa_i}{10}}
\]  

The interference scenario in Fig. 3 studied in [10]. The research results in [10] presents level of ACI and shows the relationship between guard-band sizes and statistical values that we can use for the simulations in the next section.

A parameter named ACIR (adjacent channel interference ratio) is used to measure the overall ACI and defined as the ratio of the total power transmitted from an aggressor transmitter to the total interference power affecting a victim receiver in the adjacent channel. The ACIR is expressed as a combination of ACLR and ACS according to the following equation [11].

\[
ACIR = \frac{1}{ACLR + ACS}
\]  

In this paper, we assume that ACIR is equal to ACLR by assuming ACS = ∞. We use information of a spectrum emission mask of MS and BS for LTE system from [12], [13]. With the information of this mask, ACLR is calculated by

\[
P_{TX_{ALIGNED}} / P_{TX_{SHIELD}}
\]

where P_{TX_{ALIGNED}} is the transmission power of interfering BS and P_{TX_{SHIELD}} is the received power of victim MS, respectively. Let α be a guard-band size, we can obtain P_{TX_{ALIGNED}} as follows:

\[
P_{TX_{ALIGNED}} = \begin{cases} 
10^{\frac{P_r}{10}} \max\{d_i - 2.5GHz, 2.6GHz\} & 0 < \alpha \leq 5 \\
10^{\frac{P_r}{10} (1 - \alpha)} + 10^{\frac{P_r}{10}} & 5 < \alpha \leq 10
\end{cases}
\]  

In the next section, we take the ACI into the account to...
evaluate the average throughput by MATLAB simulations.

IV. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

In this section, the average throughput of LTE multicast systems under co-existence interference (ACI) is evaluated. The comparison of the average throughput between three different guard band parameters (0, 4MHz and 10MHz) when the number of interfering BSs = 2 (N = 2) is carried out. The essential parameters for the simulations in this paper are used from the reference [7, 10]. The cell radius of R = 100m, d0 = 5m, γ = 3, P = -111dBm and the group multicast size k = 100 are used in the simulations.

In this simulation, the average throughput is plotted with different sizes of guard band; 0MHz, 4MHz and 10MHz. It is shown that the average throughput increases with the guard band size. Fig. 4 describes that LTE multicast is an effective system with enough guard band size. It can be explained that when the guard band increases, the adjacent channel interference decreases. In this case, we can roughly conclude that 10MHz guard band is suitable to apply to protect the co-existence interference (ACI) for this LTE multicast system.

Without guard band (guard band = 0MHz), the average throughput level is unacceptable to operate the LTE multicast system.

V. CONCLUSION

Multicast communication addresses packets so it is only sent to where it is required, hence reducing a load on network devices and results in enhanced efficiency in traffic control on the network. In this research we consider multicast in access networks and results provided is plotted with different sizes of guard band including 0MHz, 4MHz and 10MHz. When the guard band increases, the adjacent channel interference decreases. It can be concluded that 10MHz guard band is suitable to protect co-existence ACI for LTE multicast system. However, with guard band equivalent to 0 MHz, the average throughput is unacceptable and cannot operate on LTE multicast system.
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