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Abstract—This paper explains and demonstrates how to estimate an output disturbance in an auto-regressive model. This method uses the independent component analysis (ICA) technique, which restores source signals from their linear mixtures under the assumption that the source signals are mutually independent. The estimation is achieved by a model whose source signals consist of input and output disturbance, and observed signals consist of input and output. To solve the ICA problem, a natural gradient method based on mutual information is adopted. As a result, in this simulation, the NRR of our proposed method shows an improvement of about 4.0 [dB] compared with that of a conventional method.

Index Terms—Independent Component Analysis (ICA), Blind Signal Separation (BSS), kullback-leibler divergence, Auto Regressive (AR) model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The goal of blind signal separation (BSS) is to restore source signals from their mixtures without priori-knowledge of the source signals and a mixing process which generates the mixtures. In the case of the instantaneous mixture model, in which the mixtures are generated as linear combinations of the source signals, ICA [1] is one of the successful approaches. ICA has been applied in various areas such as speech, audio, images, and communications. In ICA, the observed signals, which are outputs from a mixing process, are linearly transformed such that separated signals, which are outputs from separating process, are statistically as independent as possible under the assumption that the source signals are mutually independent.

Various control system techniques to reduce the disturbance have been reported [2], [3]; however, most of the methods are required an information of accurate plant model parameter. From such a background, if a control system is a stable plant and the input signals are mutually independent, ICA technique makes it possible to estimate an output disturbance without depending on a system transfer function.

This paper describes an estimation process based on an ICA algorithm in a single-input single-output (SISO) control system. The estimation is achieved by a model whose source signals consist of input and output disturbance, and observed signals consist of input and output.

II. BSS PROBLEM FOR ICA

It is assumed that source signals \( s_n(t) \) consist of \( N \) signal sources described by \( s_n(t) \) \((n=1, \ldots , N)\), and observed signals consist of an \( M \)-channel sensor array \((M \geq N)\) described by \( x_m(t) \) \((m=1, \ldots , M)\), where \( t \) indicates a discrete-time index. The BSS model is formulated as

\[
    x_m(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{nm} s_n(t),
\]

where \( a_{nm} \) is an attenuation coefficient representing a path from the \( n \)th source to the \( m \)th sensor. By using a vector or matrix notation, (1) is rewritten as

\[
    x(t) = As(t),
\]

where \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \) is a mixing matrix, \( s(t) = [s_1(t), \ldots , s_N(t)]^T \) is a source signal vector, \( x(t) = [x_1(t), \ldots , x_M(t)]^T \) is an observed signal vector, and the superscript \(^T\) denotes a transpose of a matrix.

On the other hand, the separating process is formulated with separated signals denoted by \( \hat{s}_n(t) \) \((n=1, \ldots , N)\). The separating process is expressed by

\[
    \hat{s}_n(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_{nm} x_m(t),
\]

where \( w_{nm} \) is a coefficient for separation. By using a vector or matrix notation, (3) is also rewritten as

\[
    \hat{s}(t) = Wx(t),
\]

where \( \hat{s}(t) = [\hat{s}_1(t), \ldots , \hat{s}_N(t)]^T \) is a separated signal vector, and \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M} \) is a separating matrix.

Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of BSS based on ICA. If the number of observed signals \( M \) is equal to or more than that of source signals \( N \), and all independent components are non-Gaussian with the exception that a one Gaussian component is permitted [1], ICA estimates source signals that exclude permutation and amplitude ambiguity without an information of mixing process [4]. Hence, \( W \) satisfied by

![Fig. 1. Block diagram of BSS based on ICA (M ≥ N).](image-url)
WA = PD

has to be calculated, where $P$ is a permutation matrix given by permuting row (or column) vectors of the identity matrix, and $D$ is an amplitude matrix given by arbitrary diagonal matrix.

III. MODEL FORMULATION

![Block diagram in an SISO system.](image)

Fig. 2 shows a block diagram in SISO system with an auto-regressive model. In Fig. 2, $u(n)$ is an input, $d(n)$ is an output disturbance, $y(n)$ is an output, and $G(z)$ is a plant transfer function as follows.

$$G(z) = \frac{b z^{-l}}{1-a z^{-1}}, \quad (6)$$

where the parameters $|a|<1$ and $b$ are arbitrary real values.

By using (6), the relation between $u(n)$ and $y(n)$ in Z-domain is expressed by

$$Y(z) = G(z)U(z) + D(z), \quad (7)$$

where $U(z), D(z),$ and $Y(z)$ denote Z-transform of $u(n), d(n),$ and $y(n),$ respectively. Eq. (7) is transformed by an inverse Z-transform such that

$$y(n) = b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{n-k} u(k) + d(n)$$

$$= b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^{n-k} u(k) + d(n). \quad (8)$$

By using (8), a matrix representation that regards $u(n)$ and $y(n)$ as observed signals is expressed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ y(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ d(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where $u(n)=[u(n-1),...,u(n-\tau)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau r}$ is an input signal vector that consists of lag elements of $u(n),$ $I \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is a unit matrix, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is a model parameter vector, and $\tau (\leq k)$ is tap length. Eq. (9) is rewritten as

$$x' = A's', \quad (10)$$

where $x'=[u(n), y(n)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1) \times 1}$ is an observed signal vector, $s'=[u(n), d(n)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1) \times 1}$ is a source signal vector, and $A' \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1) \times (r+1)}$ is a mixing matrix such that

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

By transferring (11), the problem is reduced to an ICA problem. That is, the problem is to estimate an optimal linear parameter vector $\theta.$

IV. CONVENTIONAL ICA ALGORITHM

Minimizing the mutual information of separated signals [5] has been adopted for an ICA algorithm. In probability distribution, this algorithm is based on a property that a joint probability density function (p.d.f.) for source signals is equal to the multiplication of each marginal p.d.f. if these signals are mutually independent. Kullback–Leibler divergence (KLD) is adopted as an independent index. KLD $J(\hat{s};W)$ for separating matrix $W$ and separated signal $\hat{s}$ is defined as

$$J(\hat{s};W) = \int p_i(\hat{s};W) \log p_i(\hat{s};W) d\hat{s},$$

$$= -H(\hat{s};W) + \sum_{s=1}^N H(s;W), \quad (12)$$

where $p_i(\hat{s};W)$ is the joint p.d.f. of $\hat{s}$ determined by $W,$ and $H(s;W)$ is an information entropy defined as

$$H(s;W) = E[-\log p_i(s;W)]$$

$$= -\int p_i(s;W) \log p_i(s;W) d\hat{s}$$

$$= H(x) + \log \det W,$$  

where $\det W$ is a determinant of $W.$ Hence, Eq. (12) is rewritten as

$$J(\hat{s};W) = \sum_{s=1}^N H(s;W) - H(x) - \log \det W. \quad (14)$$

If the components of $\hat{s}$ are mutually independent, the equal sign in (14) is approved. Hence, it only has to decide $W$ that decreases $J(\hat{s};W).$ The partial differential of $J(\hat{s};W)$ for $W$ is calculated by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W} J(\hat{s};W) = (\log p(\hat{s} \hat{s}^T) - I)(W)^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

where $\phi(\hat{s})=[\phi(\hat{s}_1),...\phi(\hat{s}_s)]^T$ is a score function. $\phi(\hat{s}_s)$ is given by a differential of $\log p(\hat{s}_s)$ like

$$\phi(\hat{s}_s) = -\frac{d}{ds_s} \log p(\hat{s}_s). \quad (16)$$

This substitutes variety of nonlinear functions $\phi(\hat{s}_s)$ for $p(s)$ because $\hat{s}$ is unknown. To decide on its substitution, a normalized kurtosis $\kappa$ is introduced such that

$$\kappa = \frac{E[\hat{s}_s^4]}{E[\hat{s}_s^2]} - 3. \quad (17)$$
which quantifies a sharpness of p.d.f. of signals. Based on (17), $\phi(\hat{\xi}_n)$ determines whether to use $\tanh(\hat{\xi}_n)$ or $\hat{\xi}_n^{-\kappa}$ by the positive and negative values of $\kappa$ such that

$$
\phi(\hat{\xi}_n) = \begin{cases} 
\tanh(\hat{\xi}_n), & \kappa > 0 \\
\hat{\xi}_n^{-\kappa}, & \kappa < 0
\end{cases}
$$

(18)

Amari et al. pointed out that (15) does not always give a steepest descent direction because the space that probability distributions make becomes a Riemann space [6]. Thus, they proposed an update rule with natural gradient such that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial W} J(\hat{s};W) = (I - E_n[\phi(\hat{s})\hat{s}^T])W.
$$

(19)

V. REARRANGE UPDATE RULES

The model described in section 3 cannot be directly applied in conventional ICA techniques because $A'$ has a special structure. Another factor is that both the terms in (9) include the same component $u(n)$, and every element is not mutually independent. Hence, the separating matrix also requires a special structure. The entire separating matrix $W'$ need not be updated because $A'$ has a special structure in which each component of $A'$ other than $\theta$ is constant. Hence, Eq. (19) is rewritten as

$$
\Delta W = \Psi(I - E_n[\phi(\hat{s})\hat{s}^T])W',
$$

(20)

where $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)\times(r+1)}$ is a projection matrix consisting of

$$
\Psi = \text{diag}(0,...,0,1).
$$

(21)

When calculating $E[\cdot]$, the sample average is substituted with the time average to lower the estimation cost. Eq. (20) makes it possible to update only $\theta$. After (20), $W'$ is updated as

$$
W' \leftarrow W' + \eta \Delta W',
$$

(22)

where $\eta$ is an arbitrary positive step size parameter, and $\|\cdot\|_F$ denotes a Frobenius norm. By using (22), separated signal vector $\hat{s}' = [u(n), d'(n)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)}$ is obtained as

$$
\hat{s}' = W\chi',
$$

(23)

where $d'(n)$ is an estimated output disturbance by ICA. After ICA, $W'$ is normalized so that all its diagonal elements are 1, because all the diagonal elements of $A'^{-1}$ are 1.

VI. SIMULATIONS

In the preceding section, the algorithm for an output disturbance estimation in an SISO system has been shown. In this section, two simulations to evaluate the performance of signal estimation are demonstrated. The first is our proposed method. The second is Miyaura’s method [7], whose algorithm adopts FastICA [8] and neural networks for ICA [9]; however, the second method is not discussed in detail in this paper owing to space constraints. The sampling time and the signal length for this control system are 0.01 sec and 5.00 sec, respectively. The system transfer function $G(z)$ is set to two patterns like

$$
G_1(z) = \frac{z^{-1}}{1 + 0.3z^{-1}}
$$

(24)

and

$$
G_2(z) = \frac{z^{-1}}{1 + 0.2z^{-1} + 0.15z^{-2}}.
$$

(25)

An initial parameter matrix $W_0$ for separating matrix $W'$ is determined by

$$
W_0 = I \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)\times(r+1)}.
$$

(26)

$W_0$ derives the fact that all the diagonal elements of $A'^{-1}$ are 1 and the tap length $\tau$ is 6 points. The objective measurement in terms of noise reduction rate (NRR) is considered. NRR among $d(n)$, $y(n)$, and an estimated output disturbance $d'(n)$ is defined as

$$
\text{NRR[dB]} = 10\log_{10} \frac{\text{var}[y(n) - d(n)]}{\text{var}[d'(n) - d(n)]}
$$

(27)

where $\text{var}[\cdot]$ denotes the variance of a random variable. NRR is an index that shows how many noises can be reduced from the observation point. Figure 3 shows an input $u(n)$, an output disturbance $d(n)$, and an output $y(n)$. The input $u(n)$ is a step signal, and its rise time is set to 1.00 sec. $d(n)$ is white Gaussian noise.

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 show an estimation error in the first-order system and the second-order system, respectively. And table 1 and 2 show the result of NRR and SNR with Miyaura’s method and proposed method, respectively. It is shown that our proposed method allows for more accurate estimates than Miyaura’s method in objective measurement.
We confirmed that our proposed method made it possible to estimate an output disturbance without the dependence of transfer characteristics if a system was a stable plant. The estimation in our method was achieved by a model whose source signals consisted of input and output disturbance, and observed signals consisted of input and output. Our experiments revealed that this method shows more accurate estimation than Miyaura’s method.

VII. CONCLUSION

We confirmed that our proposed method made it possible to estimate an output disturbance without the dependence of transfer characteristics if a system was a stable plant. The estimation in our method was achieved by a model whose source signals consisted of input and output disturbance, and observed signals consisted of input and output. Our experiments revealed that this method shows more accurate estimation than Miyaura’s method.
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TABLE I: NRR AND SNR WITH CONVENTIONAL METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NRR [dB]</th>
<th>SNR [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional method ($G_s(z)$)</td>
<td>13.4492</td>
<td>13.6497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional method ($G_s(z)$)</td>
<td>12.9665</td>
<td>13.2917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: NRR AND SNR WITH PROPOSED METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NRR [dB]</th>
<th>SNR [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method ($G_s(z)$)</td>
<td>17.2002</td>
<td>18.1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method ($G_s(z)$)</td>
<td>17.2004</td>
<td>18.1716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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